arXiv:1411.3247v1 [math.SP] 12 Nov 2014

Shape differentiability of the eigenvalues of elliptic systems

Davide Buoso*

Let Ω be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^1, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By $H^1(\Omega)$ we denote the Sobolev space of functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ with derivatives in $L^2(\Omega)$, and by $H^1_0(\Omega)$ we denote the closure in $H^1(\Omega)$ of the space of C^∞-functions with compact support in Ω.

We consider the following eigenvalue problem in the weak form

$$\int_\Omega \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial x_\beta} dx = \lambda \int_\Omega u \cdot \varphi dx, \tag{1}$$

for any $\varphi \in V(\Omega)^m$, in the unknowns $u \in V(\Omega)^m$ (the eigenfunction), $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (the eigenvalue), where $V(\Omega)$ denotes either $H^1_0(\Omega)$ (for Dirichlet boundary conditions) or $H^1(\Omega)$ (for Neumann boundary conditions).

Note that the classical formulation of the Dirichlet problem reads

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} = \lambda u_j, j = 1, \dots, m, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

while the classical formulation of the Neumann problem reads

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta} = \lambda u_j, j = 1, \dots, m, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \nu_\beta \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_\alpha} = 0, j = 1, \dots, m, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where ν denotes the outer unit normal to $\partial\Omega$.

Here and in the sequel $a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{R}$ are constant coefficients satisfying $a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} = a^{ji}_{\beta\alpha}$ and the Legendre-Hadamard condition, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \xi_i \xi_j \eta_\alpha \eta_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2 |\eta|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m, \forall \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{4}$$

for some $\theta > 0$.

We consider in $H^1(\Omega)^m$ the bilinear form

$$< u, v >= \int_\Omega \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_\beta} dx, \tag{5}$$

*University of Padova; email: dbuoso@math.unipd.it.

for any $u, v \in H^1(\Omega)^m$. Note that, for instance, it is possible to prove that the bilinear form (5) defines on $H_0^1(\Omega)^m$ a scalar product whose induced norm is equivalent to the standard one.

Note that problem (1) includes some important problems in linear elasticity. For instance, the choice $a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta} + k\delta_{i\alpha}\delta_{j\beta}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecher delta and $k \geq 0$ a constant, leads to the Lamé eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - k\nabla \mathrm{div} u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Problem (6) is very similar to the Reissner-Mindlin system

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{\mu}{12}\Delta\beta - \frac{\mu+\lambda}{12}\nabla \mathrm{div}\beta - \frac{\mu k}{t^2}(\nabla w - \beta) = \frac{\gamma t^2}{12}\beta, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\frac{\mu k}{t^2}(\Delta w - \mathrm{div}\beta) = \gamma w, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \beta = 0, \quad w = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

which arises in the study of the vibrations of a clamped plate. Here μ, λ, k and t are physical constants, γ is the eigenvalue and (β, w) is the eigenvector. Note that the current discussion does not comprehend problem (7), since it presents lower order terms. However, the arguments we use can be easily adapted in order to treat problem (7) as well (see [5]).

Thanks to condition (4), it is possible to show that the eigenvalues of problem (1) are non-negative, have finite multiplicity and can be represented as a non-decreasing divergent sequence $\lambda_k[\Omega]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ where each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity. In particular,

$$\lambda_k[\Omega] = \min_{\substack{E \subset V(\Omega)^m \\ \dim E = k}} \max_{\substack{u \in E \\ u \neq 0}} R[u],$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $V(\Omega)$ denotes either $H_0^1(\Omega)$ (for problem (2)) or $H^1(\Omega)$ (for problem (3)), and $R[u]$ is the Rayleigh quotient defined by

$$R[u] = \frac{\int_\Omega \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^N \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_\beta} dx}{\int_\Omega |u|^2 dx}.$$

In order to shorten our notation, in the sequel we shall use Einstein notation, hence summation symbols will be dropped.

In Section 1 we examine the problem of shape differentiability of the eigenvalues of problem (1). We consider problem (1) in $\phi(\Omega)$ and pull it back to Ω, where ϕ belongs to a suitable class of diffeomorphisms. This analysis was exploited in [8, 9] for the Laplace operator, in [3, 4, 6] for polyharmonic operators and in [5] for the Reissner-Mindlin system (7). In particular, we derive Hadamard-type formulas for the symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of problem (1).

In Section 2 we consider the problem of finding critical points for the symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of problem (1), under volume constraint. This is strictly related to the problem of shape optimization of the eigenvalue (see [7] for a detailed discussion on the topic). Similarly to what was done in

[3, 4, 5, 6, 10], we provide a characterization for the critical domains, and show that, for a particular class of coefficients $a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}$, balls are critical domains for all the symmetric functions of the eigenvalues.

1 Analyticity results

Let Ω be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^1. We shall consider problem (1) in a family of open sets parameterized by suitable diffeomorphisms ϕ defined on Ω. Namely, we set

$$\mathcal{A}_\Omega = \left\{ \phi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}\,;\mathbb{R}^N) : \inf_{\substack{x_1,x_2\in\overline{\Omega}\\ x_1\neq x_2}} \frac{|\phi(x_1)-\phi(x_2)|}{|x_1-x_2|} > 0 \right\},$$

where $C^1(\overline{\Omega}\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the space of all functions from $\overline{\Omega}$ to $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^1. Note that if $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ then ϕ is injective, Lipschitz continuous and $\inf_{\overline{\Omega}} |\det \nabla \phi| > 0$. Moreover, $\phi(\Omega)$ is a bounded open set of class C^1 and the inverse map $\phi^{(-1)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{\phi(\Omega)}$. Thus it is natural to consider problem (1) on $\phi(\Omega)$ and study the dependence of $\lambda_k[\phi(\Omega)]$ on $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$. To do so, we endow the space $C^1(\overline{\Omega}\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$ with its usual norm. Note that $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ is an open set in $C^1(\overline{\Omega}\,;\mathbb{R}^N)$, see [8, Lemma 3.11]. Thus, it makes sense to study differentiability and analyticity properties of the maps $\phi \mapsto \lambda_k[\phi(\Omega)]$ defined for $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$. For simplicity, we write $\lambda_k[\phi]$ instead of $\lambda_k[\phi(\Omega)]$. We fix a finite set of indexes $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ and we consider those maps $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ for which the eigenvalues with indexes in F do not coincide with eigenvalues with indexes not in F; namely we set

$$\mathcal{A}_{F,\Omega} = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega : \ \lambda_k[\phi] \neq \lambda_l[\phi], \ \forall\, k \in F,\, l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus F \}\,.$$

It is also convenient to consider those maps $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{F,\Omega}$ such that all the eigenvalues with index in F coincide and set

$$\Theta_{F,\Omega} = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{F,\Omega} : \ \lambda_{k_1}[\phi] = \lambda_{k_2}[\phi], \ \forall\, k_1, k_2 \in F \}\,.$$

For $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{F,\Omega}$, the elementary symmetric functions of the eigenvalues with index in F are defined by

$$\Lambda_{F,s}[\phi] = \sum_{\substack{k_1,\dots,k_s\in F\\ k_1<\dots<k_s}} \lambda_{k_1}[\phi]\cdots\lambda_{k_s}[\phi], \quad s = 1,\dots,|F|. \tag{8}$$

We have the following

Theorem 1. *Let Ω be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^1 and F be a finite set in $\mathbb{N}$. The set $\mathcal{A}_{F,\Omega}$ is open in $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$, and the real-valued maps $\Lambda_{F,s}$ are real-analytic on $\mathcal{A}_{F,\Omega}$, for all $s = 1,\dots,|F|$. Moreover, if $\tilde{\phi} \in \Theta_{F,\Omega}$ is such that the eigenvalues $\lambda_k[\tilde{\phi}]$ assume the common value $\lambda_F[\tilde{\phi}]$ for all $k \in F$, and $\tilde{\phi}(\Omega)$ is of*

class C^2 then the Frechét differential of the map $\Lambda_{F,s}$ at the point $\tilde{\phi}$ is delivered by the formula

$$d|_{\phi=\tilde{\phi}}(\Lambda_{F,s})[\psi] = -\lambda_F^s[\tilde{\phi}]\binom{|F|-1}{s-1}\sum_{l=1}^{|F|}\int_{\partial\tilde{\phi}(\Omega)} a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}\frac{\partial v_i^{(l)}}{\partial y_\alpha}\frac{\partial v_j^{(l)}}{\partial y_\beta}\zeta\cdot\nu d\sigma, \quad (9)$$

for problem (2), or

$$d|_{\phi=\tilde{\phi}}(\Lambda_{F,s})[\psi] = -\lambda_F^s[\tilde{\phi}]\binom{|F|-1}{s-1}\sum_{l=1}^{|F|} \int_{\partial\tilde{\phi}(\Omega)}\left(\lambda_F|v^{(l)}|^2 - a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}\frac{\partial v_i^{(l)}}{\partial y_\alpha}\frac{\partial v_j^{(l)}}{\partial y_\beta}\right)\zeta\cdot\nu d\sigma, \quad (10)$$

for problem (3), for all $\psi\in C^1(\overline{\Omega};\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\zeta=\psi\circ\tilde{\phi}^{(-1)}$ and $\{v^{(l)}\}_{l\in F}$ is an orthonormal basis in $V(\tilde{\phi}(\Omega))^m$ (with respect to the scalar product (5)) of the eigenspace associated with $\lambda_F[\tilde{\phi}]$.

The proof of Theorem 1 can be easily done adapting that of [8, Theorem 3.38] for the Dirichlet problem (2), and that of [9, Theorem 2.5] for the Neumann problem (3), and it can be found in [2].

2 Isovolumetric perturbations

We consider the following extremum problems for the symmetric functions of the eigenvalues

$$\min_{V[\phi]=\text{const}}\Lambda_{F,s}[\phi] \quad \text{or} \quad \max_{V[\phi]=\text{const}}\Lambda_{F,s}[\phi], \quad (11)$$

where $V[\phi]$ denotes the N-dimensional Lebesgue measure of $\phi(\Omega)$. Note that if $\tilde{\phi}\in\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ is a minimizer or maximizer in (11) then $\tilde{\phi}$ is a critical domain transformation for the map $\phi\mapsto\Lambda_{F,s}[\phi]$ subject to volume constraint, i.e.,

$$\text{Ker d}|_{\phi=\tilde{\phi}}V \subset \text{Ker d}|_{\phi=\tilde{\phi}}\Lambda_{F,s},$$

where V is the real valued function defined on $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ which takes $\phi\in\mathcal{A}_\Omega$ to $V[\phi]$.

The following theorem provides a characterization of all critical domain transformations ϕ (see also [3, 4, 5, 6, 10]).

Theorem 2. *Let Ω be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of class C^1, and F be a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\tilde{\phi}\in\Theta_{F,\Omega}$ is such that $\tilde{\phi}(\Omega)$ is of class C^2 and that the eigenvalues $\lambda_j[\tilde{\phi}]$ have the common value $\lambda_F[\tilde{\phi}]$ for all $j\in F$. Let $\{v^{(l)}\}_{l\in F}$ be an orthornormal basis in $V(\tilde{\phi}(\Omega))^m$ (with respect to the scalar product (5)) of the eigenspace corresponding to $\lambda_F[\tilde{\phi}]$. Then $\tilde{\phi}$ is a critical domain transformation*

for any of the functions $\Lambda_{F,s}$, $s = 1, \dots, |F|$, with volume constraint if and only if there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{|F|} a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial v_i^{(l)}}{\partial y_\alpha} \frac{\partial v_j^{(l)}}{\partial y_\beta} = c, \quad \text{on } \partial\tilde{\phi}(\Omega), \tag{12}$$

for problem (2), or

$$\sum_{l=1}^{|F|} \left(\lambda_F |v^{(l)}|^2 - a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial v_i^{(l)}}{\partial y_\alpha} \frac{\partial v_j^{(l)}}{\partial y_\beta} \right) = c, \quad \text{on } \partial\tilde{\phi}(\Omega), \tag{13}$$

for problem (3).

Proof. The proof is a straightforward application of Lagrange Multipliers Theorem combined with formulas (9) and (10). □

Now we introduce the following

Definition 3. *The operator $\mathcal{L}$ defined by*

$$\mathcal{L}(u)_j = -a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_\beta}$$

is said to be rotation invariant if there exists a group homomorphism

$$S : O_N(\mathbb{R}) \to O_m(\mathbb{R}),$$

(i.e., $S(AB) = S(A)S(B)$ for all $A, B \in O_N(\mathbb{R})$) such that

$$\mathcal{L}\left(S(R)^t u \circ R\right) = S(R)^t \mathcal{L}(u) \circ R,$$

for any $R \in O_N(\mathbb{R})$, and for any $u \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^m$.

So far we have the following

Theorem 4. *Suppose that the operator associated with problem (1) is rotation invariant. Let B be the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$ centered at zero, and let λ be an eigenvalue of problem (1) in B. Let F be the subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ of all k such that the k-th eigenvalue of problem (1) in B coincides with λ. Let $v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(|F|)}$ be an orthonormal basis of the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue λ in $V(B)^m$. Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that condition (12) (condition (13) respectively) holds.*

Proof. First of all, note that by standard regularity theory (cf. [1, §10.3]), the functions $v^{(l)} \in C^\infty(\overline{B})$ for all $l \in F$. Moreover, since $\{v^{(l)}\}_{l \in F}$ is an orthonormal basis with respect to the scalar product (5) and $v^{(l)}$ satisfies equation (1) for any $l \in F$, then $\{v^{(l)}\}_{l \in F}$ is an orthonormal basis also with respect to the standard scalar product of L^2.

Thanks to the rotation invariance, $\{(S(R)^t v_l) \circ R : l = 1, \dots, |F|\}$ is another orthonormal basis for the eigenspace associated with λ, whenever $R \in O_n(\mathbb{R})$, where $S(R)$ is defined as in Definition 3. Since both $\{v^{(l)} : l = 1, \dots, |F|\}$ and $\{(S(R)^t v^{(l)}) \circ R : l = 1, \dots, |F|\}$ are orthonormal bases, then there exists $A[R] \in O_N(\mathbb{R})$ with matrix $(A_{rh}[R])_{r,h=1,\dots,|F|}$ such that $(S(R)^t v^{(r)}) \circ R = \sum_{l=1}^{|F|} A_{rl}[R] v^{(l)}$. This implies that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{|F|} |v^{(r)}|^2 \circ R = \sum_{l=1}^{|F|} |v^{(l)}|^2, \tag{14}$$

by the L^2-orthonormality, and that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{|F|} \left(a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial v_i^{(r)}}{\partial y_\alpha} \frac{\partial v_j^{(r)}}{\partial y_\beta} \right) \circ R = \sum_{l=1}^{|F|} \left(a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial v_i^{(l)}}{\partial y_\alpha} \frac{\partial v_j^{(l)}}{\partial y_\beta} \right), \tag{15}$$

by the orthonormality with respect to the scalar product (5). This concludes the proof. □

Thus we get the following

Corollary 5. *Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ of calss C^1. Suppose that the operator associated with problem (1) is rotation invariant. Let $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ be such that $\tilde{\phi}(\Omega)$ is a ball. Let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be an eigenvalue of problem (2) in $\tilde{\phi}(\Omega)$, and let F be the set of $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\lambda_j[\tilde{\phi}] = \tilde{\lambda}$. Then $\tilde{\phi}$ is a critical point $\Lambda_{F,s}$ under volume constraint, for all $s = 1, \dots, |F|$.*

Acknowledgements. The author is very thankful to Prof. Pier Domenico Lamberti for his useful comments and remarks. The author acknowledges financial support from the research project 'Singular perturbation problems for differential operators' Progetto di Ateneo of the University of Padova. The author is a member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

References

[1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. II, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 17, 35–92, 1964.

[2] D. Buoso, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Padova, in preparation.

[3] D. Buoso, P.D. Lamberti, Eigenvalues of polyharmonic operators on variable domains, ESAIM: COCV, 19 (2013), 1225-1235.

[4] D. Buoso, P.D. Lamberti, Shape deformation for vibrating hinged plates, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 37 (2014), 237-244.

[5] D. Buoso, P.D. Lamberti, Shape sensitivity analysis of the eigenvalues of the Reissner-Mindlin system, to appear on SIAM J. Math. Anal.

[6] D. Buoso, L. Provenzano, A few shape optimization results for a biharmonic Steklov problem, in preparation.

[7] A. Henrot, Extremum problems for eigenvalues of elliptic operators, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

[8] P.D. Lamberti, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, A real analyticity result for symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of a domain dependent Dirichlet problem for the Laplace operator, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal 5 (2004), no.1, 19-42.

[9] P.D. Lamberti, M.Lanza de Cristoforis, A real analyticity result for symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of a domain-dependent Neumann problem for the Laplace operator, Mediterr. J. Math. 4 (2007), no. 4, 435-449.

[10] P.D. Lamberti, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, Critical points of the symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator and overdetermined problems, J. Math. Soc. Japan 58 (2006), no.1, 231-245.